SI. No.	Item
26.	To reform the -Brahmaputra Board and to make its functioning more effective and implementation of flood management schemes.
27.	Sanction of Technology Mission for Horticulture in the current financial year with a total outlay of Rs. 262.50 crore over the next 3 years.
28.	Sanction of special programme for development of infrastructure and other facilities in the Bodo Autonomous Council (BAC) Areas with a outlay of Rs. 30 crore per annum for three years.

Per capita income

† 3751. SHRI ANANTRAY DEVSHANKER DAVE: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) whether per capita income during the last three years has sharply declined in comparison to that of the year 1996-97;

(b) the increase in the per capita income State-wise and Union-Territory-wise as per the existing data;

(c) whether India's position is below in comparison to Pakistan with regard to the per capita gross domestic product; and

(d) if so, what steps Government have taken to increase per capita income?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF STATISTICS AND PROGRAMME IMPLEMENTATION (SHRI ARUN SHOURIE): (a) No, Sir. The per capita national income (net national product at factor cost) at 1993-94 prices, during the years

† Original notice of the question was received in Hindi.

1998-99, 1999-2000 and 2000-2001 have been estimated as Rs. 9,733, Rs. 10,204 and Rs. 10,654 respectively as against Rs. 9,036 in the year 1996-97.

(b) A Statement giving information on percentage increase in the per capita income (at 1993-94 prices) State-wise is annexed. *(See* below)

(c) Yes, Sir. India's per capita Gross National Product (GNP) in the year 1999 estimated as $450 is less than Pakistan's per capita GNP ($470) for the same year as per the World Development Report, 2000-
2001.

(d) The strategic policy measures required to improve the nation's per capita income are highlighted in the Ninth Five Year Plan Document. Some of the policy, shortfalls and directions for reforms in this regard are identified in the Mid-Term Appraisal of Ninth Five Year Plan.

Statement

Percent Increase over Previous Year in Per Capita State/National Income at constant (1993-94) prices

SI. No.	State/UT	1996-97	1997-98	1998-99	1999-2000
1.	Andhra Pradesh	4.9	-3.3	10.6	N.A.
2.	Arunachal Pradesh	-8.4	0.7	-3.4	9.2
3.	Assam	0.6	0.1	-3.6	6.8
4.	Bihar	9.9	2.7	4.6	1.8
5.	Goa	13.2	-1.1	N.A.	N.A.
6.	Gujarat	9.8	-0.7	3.2	N.A.
7.	Haryana	9.8	-1.1	3.8	5.5
8.	Himachal Pradesh	3.8	3.2	4.3	N.A.
9.	Jammu & Kashmir	3.7	2.1	2.4	N.A.
10.	Karnataka	9.1	2.8	9.3	N.A.
11.	Kerala	3.6	'* 3.8	4.5	N.A.
12.	Madhya Pradesh	4.4	-1.3	4.8	N.A.

SI. No.	State/UT	1996-97	1997-98	1998-99	1999-2000
13.	Maharashtra	3.2	3.0	9.2	N.A.
14.	Manipur	8.7	8.9	2.4	1.1
15.	Meghalaya	1.0	2.6	3.5	2.9
16.	Mizoram	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.
17.	Nagaland	2.4	4.1	N.A.	N.A.
18.	Orissa	-6.8	11.1	3.2	N.A.
19.	Punjab	5.4	0.1	2.2	N.A.
20.	Rajasthan	9.8	7.0	-11.3	-7.2
21.	Sikkim	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.
22.	Tamil Nadu	4.0	6.9	2.8	6.0
23.	Tripura	7.2	6.8	1.6	2.0
24.	Uttar Pradesh	8.5	-2.0	4.6	4.2
25.	West Bengal	5.2	6.8	5.5	5.9
26.	A & N Islands	2.8	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.
27.	Chandigarh	14.0	8.0	N.A.	N.A.
28.	Delhi	6.6.	10.0	4.5	4.6
29.	Pondicherry	34.5	26.7	9.1	1.6
	Per Capita National Income	6.3	2.8	4.8	4.8

Note: Estimates are Provisional

SOURCE: (i) Per Capita State Income (Net State Domestic Product) for the states at Si. No. 1—29 : respective State Directorates of Economics & Statistics (Li) Per Capita National Income (Net National Product at factor cost): Central Statistical Organisation.

N.A.: Not Available.